



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

10/10

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,825	01/11/2002	Anne R. Kopf-Sill	100/10010	8044
21569	7590	01/24/2005	EXAMINER	
CALIPER LIFE SCIENCES, INC. 605 FAIRCHILD DRIVE MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94043-2234			LAM, ANN Y	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1641

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/044,825	KOPF-SILL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ann Y. Lam	1641	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 November 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/9/02.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-25) in the reply filed on November 5, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 26-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claim Objections

Claims 6-8, 10, 12 (and thus its dependent claim 13), and 14-18 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claims 6-8, 12 and 14-18, line 1 in each of those claims, --further—should be inserted before “comprising”. Also in claim 10, line 1, “comprising” should be replaced by –further comprises--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 1641

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 and 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parce et al., 5,942,443.

As to claim 1, Parce et al. teaches a method of flowing fluid in a non-sipper microfluidic device, the method comprising: flowing fluid through the non-sipper microfluidic device (i.e., channel 112, and the plurality of separate reservoirs and separate channels in col. 9, lines 56-58) to emulate a fluid flow profile in a microfluidic device comprising an external capillary (col. 56-63) wherein the fluid flow profile results from flowing one or more sample from an external source into a microfluidic device.)

(Examiner notes that “emulate” according to Applicant’s definition on page 8, second paragraph, of the specification means to imitate, equal, simulate, copy, etc. flow characteristic(s) of a sipper device, e.g., hydrodynamic resistance, flow rate, amount of fluid flow or the like. Parce ‘443 teaches the microfluidic device (i.e., the non-sipper device) described in column 9, lines 56-65 is an alternative to a microfluidic device that uses micropipettors to introduce fluids into the microfluidic device (i.e., the sipper device), described in column 9, lines 29-30. Thus, the non-sipper microfluidic device in column 9, lines 56-65 is used to imitate, equal or simulate flow characteristics of the sipper device in column 9, lines 29-30.)

As to claim 2, the non-sipper microfluidic device comprises a planar microfluidic device (see fig. 1.)

As to claim 3, the external source comprises a microwell plate (col. 20, line 61.)

As to claim 4, flowing fluid through the non-sipper microfluidic device comprises creating one or more sample plug (i.e., test compounds, col. 9, lines 60-61) and one or more buffer plug in the non-sipper microfluidic device (i.e., spacer buffer, col. 9, lines 60-61 and 65), which one or more sample plug and one or more buffer plug emulate fluid flow from the external source into the microfluidic device via the external capillary.

As to claim 5, creating the sample plug(s) and the buffer plug(s) comprises:

(i) loading a sample from a first source (i.e., one of the separate reservoirs containing test compounds, in col. 9, line 57) into a channel (112, col. 9, line 56) of the non-sipper microfluidic device,

(ii) loading a buffer from a second source (i.e., one of the separate reservoirs containing spacer buffer compound, in col. 9, lines 57-59, and line 65) into the channel;

(iii) applying pressure to the sample in the channel, thereby creating the sample plug(s) and transporting the sample plug(s) through the channel (i.e., through fluid direction scheme, col. 9, lines 60-63 and col. 3, lines 22-31); and,

(iv) applying pressure to the buffer in the channel, thereby creating the buffer plug(s) and transporting the buffer plug(s) through the channel (i.e., through fluid direction scheme, col. 9, lines 60-63 and col. 3, lines 22-31.)

As to claim 6, the method further comprises alternately performing step (i) and step (ii) (see col. 9, lines 38-39 and lines 63-64).

As to claim 7, the method further comprises repeating steps (i) and (ii) (col. 9, lines 38-39 and lines 63-64.)

As to claim 8, the method further comprises continuously performing step (iii) and step (iv) (col. 9, lines 38-39 and lines 63-64.)

As to claim 9, the method further comprises alternately performing step (i) and step (ii) while simultaneously performing step (iii) and step (iv) (col. 9, lines 38-39 and lines 63-64.)

As to claim 11, the first source and the second source comprise internal reservoirs (col. 9, line 57.)

As to claim 12, the method further comprises loading the sample from the first source into the channel of the non-sipper microfluidic device by applying a first electrokinetic gradient between the first source and a waste reservoir (col. 13, line 35) and loading the buffer from the second source into the channel by applying a second electrokinetic gradient between the second source and the waste reservoir (col. 13, lines 32-40, and col. 9, lines 38-39, and lines 63-64, and col. 3, lines 22-32.)

As to claim 13, the waste reservoir comprises an internal reservoir (col. 13, lines 32-40).

As to claim 14, the method further comprises alternately applying the first electrokinetic gradient and the second electrokinetic gradient (col. 9, lines 38-39, and lines 63-64.)

As to claim 15, the method further comprises alternately applying the first electrokinetic gradient ad the second electrokinetic gradient and simultaneously applying pressure to the sample in the channel and to the buffer in the channel (col. 9, lines 38-39 and lines 63-64.)

As to claim 16, the method further comprises loading the sample from the first source into the channel by applying pressure to the sample and loading the buffer from the second source into the channel by applying pressure to the buffer (col. 9, lines 38-39, and lines 63-64.)

As to claim 17, the method further comprises alternately applying pressure to the sample and to the buffer (col. 9, lines 38-39 and lines 63-64.)

As to claim 18, the method further comprises alternately applying pressure to the sample in the first source and to the buffer in the second source (col. 9, lines 38-39 and lines 63-64) and concurrently applying pressure to the sample in the channel and to the buffer in the channel (col. 13, lines 32-40.)

As to claim 19, flowing fluid through the non-sipper microfluidic device comprises

(i) flowing a sample from a first internal source (i.e., a reservoir containing test compound, col. 9, lines 57-59) into a non-sipper main channel via a capillary emulator channel (112, col. 9, line 56);

(ii) flowing the sample through the non-sipper main channel (col. 9, lines 60-63);

and

(iii) flowing one or more reagent from at least a second internal source (i.e., a reservoir containing spacer buffer, col. 9, lines 57-59, and line 65) into the non-sipper main channel via a non-sipper side channel (i.e., separate channel, col. 9, line 58.)

As to claim 20, the capillary emulator channel simulates the external capillary (col. 9, lines 56-58).

As to claim 21, the non-sipper main channel simulates a sipper main channel (col. 9, lines 56-58).

As to claim 22, the non-sipper side channel simulates a sipper side channel (col. 9, lines 56-58.)

As to claim 23, simulates comprises having substantially the same hydrodynamic resistance as an equivalent channel in the microfluidic device comprising the external capillary (col. 9, lines 56-58; see also Examiner's comments above regarding "emulate" and "simulate".)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parce et al., 5,942,443.

Parce et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed (see above).

However, as to claim 10, Parce et al. does not disclose that step (iii) and step (iv) further comprises simultaneously applying a first pressure to the sample and a second pressure to the buffer, wherein the first pressure and the second pressure are different.

Art Unit: 1641

Parce et al. however does teach that fluid movement depends on various factors including solvent viscosity and electric field strength (col. 12, lines 58-67), and that there may be multiple, independent voltage sources and a voltage controller that is electrically connected to each reservoir (col. 13, lines 3-12.) Parce et al. also teaches that modulation of the voltages applied at the various reservoirs can move and direct fluid flow through the interconnected channel structure in a controlled manner to effect the fluid flow for the desired screening assay and apparatus.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a pressure to the sample that is different from the pressure applied to the buffer because Parce et al. teaches that applied pressure on fluids can be changed to account for factors such as solvent viscosity and as would be necessary to move and direct fluid flow in a controlled manner to effect the fluid flow for the desired screening assay.

Also, as to claim 24, Parce et al. does not teach that simulates comprises having substantially the same length, width, and depth as an equivalent channel in the microfluidic device comprising the external capillary. Parce et al. does teach however that the dimensions of a channel may be varied to adjust for incubation time (col. 15, lines 21-25) or to adjust for resistance within the channel (col. 20, lines 1-14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide in the non-sipper microfluidic device a channel having the same length, width, and depth as an equivalent channel in a microfluidic device comprising external capillary because this dimension is an optimum or workable range

and it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, as is in the case at hand, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Also, as to claim 25, Parce et al. does not disclose that simulates comprises flowing substantially the same amount of the reagent or the sample as an equivalent channel in the microfluidic device comprising the external capillary. Parce et al. however does teach that movement of test compounds can be controlled (col. 3, lines 22-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to flow substantially the same amount of the reagent or the sample as an equivalent channel in the microfluidic device comprising the external capillary because this amount is an optimum or workable range and it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, as is in the case at hand, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Knapp et al., WO 98/45481, discloses a non-sipper microfluidic device.

Art Unit: 1641

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-0822. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Sat 11-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L.



CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800/1641
1/10/05